

THE ENCYCLOPEDIA OF ANWAR IBRAHIM



Prepared by :
Jebat Must Die

CHAPTER 1

There's something about Anwar Ibrahim

We shall start off with this pantun;

*“Angkut angkut terbang ke langit,
sampai di langit dimakan merbah,
biar bertangkup bumi dan langit,
setia hamba tidak berubah.”*

Those were the words of an Umno Deputy President during an Umno General Assembly in the not too distant past. During the good old days some would have reckoned. Datuk Seri Anwar Ibrahim verbalized his undivided loyalty towards his mentor, Datuk Seri (now Tun) Dr Mahathir Mohamad by reciting this pantun at the end of the Umno General Assembly in 1996. The affection being shown at that time by the PM towards his successor was for all to see. Only the most clairvoyant among us can predict what could have been unfold in the next two years after the pantun was recited and immortalized in the annals of Umno history.



“The prodigal son and the father figure”

This fiery pantun, which embodied an absolute and undying loyalty of a man towards his President no matter what may come, had been truly forgotten by Anwar Ibrahim as he became the fiercest critic to the very same person he held in very high regard several years ago.

In 1996, Anwar was seen as Umno's heir apparent to Dr Mahathir. Now, in 2008, Anwar is still perceived as heir apparent and prime minister in waiting to the current PM albeit from another political party. Who is Anwar Ibrahim? How did he come about to be what he is today? A political reformer? The people's saviour? An opportunist? A power hungry extremist?

In order to know a person, we need to know his character, his current ideology, his belief system, his principles or as some may say it, his 'centre of being'. We study this, and then we reflect on all his actions through the years we've known him during the height of his power, and only then we can gauge what kind of a man he is. As what Abraham Lincoln once said - "Nearly all men can stand adversity, but if you want to test a man's character, give him power".

Read the above pantun again. Has the earth and the sky flipped over each other?

From his May 2007 interview in BBC's Hardtalk, we delve into the man which some touted as the next best thing in Malaysia.

[The Anwar Ibrahim interview in BBC HardTalk](#)

The issue which was relentlessly hammered on to Anwar towards the end of the interview was his integrity and credibility in leading the fight against the blatant corruption within the Malaysian Government. As the interviewer pointed out, he was in the system itself for nearly 2 decades and did not do anything about it. Although Anwar insisted he fought against the tide, it were only in the forms of words. No actions were done by him. In fact, his tenure as the Finance Minister from 1991 to 1998 was best remembered by his subtle manoeuvring in forcing Dr Mahathir to resign as Prime Minister in the Umno General Assembly in 1998.

His message was conveyed in the form of his trusted lieutenant, Datuk Zahid Hamidi, the Umno Youth Chief at the time. Alleging that cronyism and nepotism were prevalent within the Umno top hierarchy, and insinuating to the Umno members that Dr Mahathir had too many cronies, it was time Dr Mahathir to pass on the mantle of power to a cleaner leader. However, the plan backfired. We can read to remember about [this revelation in Business Times \(23 June 1998 \)](#);

DATUK Ahmad Zahid Hamidi, the Umno Youth chief, caused more than a bit of a stir when he spoke of 'nepotisma and kronisma' at the Youth assembly last Thursday, forcing the ensuing three-day Umno general assembly to debate issues associated with these alien terms openly.

The party found itself on the defensive because, as a member of the Umno supreme council, there were questions as to whether Zahid had raised the issues at its meetings chaired by the party president. It led to several significant things happening during the assembly.

It prompted the Government to issue several lists of names of so-called "Government cronies" who had been awarded shares or privatization projects. They included the Prime Minister's son, **the Deputy Prime Minister's father and brother**, Cabinet ministers and members of parliament, aside from hundreds of other names of "ordinary" Malays and Bumiputeras. Even Zahid's name was in one of the lists.

The finality of the findings destroyed Anwar's reputation as the leading reformer of clean governance as more evidence of his excesses were exposed that year. One such excesses was the purported loss of billions of ringgit in currency hedging by Bank Negara Malaysia. In January 1998, Dr Mahathir had already toying with the idea of capital controls but was shot down by Anwar. He favours the more risky currency trading. As the result, when the ringgit devalued further that month, [he directed BNM to intervene](#);

KUALA LUMPUR 5 Jan. – Timbalan Perdana Menteri, Datuk Seri Anwar Ibrahim berkata, Bank Negara Malaysia (BNM) dibenarkan untuk campur tangan dalam pasaran tukaran wang asing bagi memastikan nilai ringgit dapat distabilkan.

Anwar yang mengulas mengenai kejatuhan ringgit hari ini yang mencapai paras terendahnya 4.0550/50 berbanding dolar Amerika, menegaskan BNM boleh campur tangan sekiranya keadaan itu sangat diperlukan.

Dalam hubungan ini Anwar menegaskan, kejatuhan ringgit sebenarnya disebabkan oleh beberapa faktor serantau seperti nilai baht, peso, rupiah dan won (Korea Selatan).

Please note that he stated the ringgit value fell due to external factors. Not due to Dr Mahathir's fault as he had always screamed after he was sacked from the cabinet. Two days after making the statement above, the BNM used billions of ringgit to stop the further slide as stated in the [report below](#):

KUALA LUMPUR 7 Jan. - Ringgit menjunam ke paras paling rendah terbaru pada 4.8800 berbanding dolar Amerika sehingga terpaksa dipulihkan hari ini melalui campur tangan Bank Negara Malaysia (BNM).

Timbalan Perdana Menteri Datuk Seri Anwar Ibrahim, dalam satu kenyataan petang ini berkata, **"operasi-operasi campur tangan" telah dijalankan** untuk memastikan keadaan stabil bagi membolehkan pasaran tukaran asing berjalan dengan cekap.

In the end, BNM lost billions as the ringgit sank further. Some speculated the loss reached up to RM30 billion ringgit, [similar to the loss](#) he was [accountable in 1993](#). Obviously, Dr Mahathir was furious. Anwar's mistake was intolerable. But his next action in the coming weeks was even more unforgivable by Dr Mahathir. Anwar had [invited the IMF](#) to 'help' Malaysia's ailing economy and the recent loss of wealth.

KUALA LUMPUR 9 Jan. - Timbalan Perdana Menteri, Datuk Seri Anwar Ibrahim telah mengundang Pengarah Urusan Dana Kewangan Antarabangsa (IMF), Michel Camdessus melawat negara ini minggu depan bagi membincangkan masalah ekonomi yang sedang melanda rantau ini.

"Dari situ kita dapat melihat pandangannya serta langkah-langkah proaktif yang sesuai untuk kita ambil," kata beliau pada sidang akhbar selepas mempengerusikan Mesyuarat Majlis Kewangan Negara 1998 di Kementerian Kewangan hari ini.

As the result of IMF's prescription, the economy and people's purchasing power broke down. The IMF encouraged the Minister of Finance to [lift the prices of controlled food items](#) and increase the banking interest rates. Dr Mahathir was wary with the IMF's prescription. The people in our neighbouring countries Indonesia and Thailand were already rioting due to the expensive cost of living.

KUALA LUMPUR 19 Jan. – Malaysia akan **mengkaji** cadangan Dana Kewangan Antarabangsa (IMF) supaya kadar faedah tempatan dinaikkan, kata Perdana Menteri, Datuk Seri Dr. Mahathir Mohamad hari ini.

Cadangan itu dikemukakan oleh Pengarah Urusan IMF, Michel Camdessus selepas menemui Timbalan Perdana Menteri, Datuk Seri Anwar Ibrahim serta pegawai-pegawai Perbendaharaan dan Bank Negara di sini minggu lepas.

But Anwar was adamant. He needed the people to riot on the streets. President Soeharto was already shaky. A new leader was needed to take over this country. He was going to **blackmail** Malaysia to become the next prime minister through the use of the destructive IMF treatment. In the end, the interest rates were increased. Some was as high as 18%. The non performing loan criteria was shortened from 6 months to 3 months. As the result, the credit crunch in the country was inevitable. By May 1998, President Soeharto resigned. But Indonesia sank further in oblivion for many years after that.



“The leader whom had unified Indonesia as the biggest Muslim country in the world, was brought down by new type of colonialism”

Seeing Anwar was unable to lift Malaysia from the currency crisis, Dr Mahathir appointed the more able Tun Daim Zainuddin as the Minister of Special Functions to help alleviate the Malaysian economy. Anwar was sidelined due to his culpability in managing the country finances. To illustrate this point into perspective, a CFO of a company must surely be sacked if he runs the company to the ground with his reckless methods. That was what happened to Anwar Ibrahim. But Dr Mahathir was still magnanimous in his actions. He was

willing to forgive and forget if Anwar would simply lay low for a little while. But matters were not easily understood by Anwar.

Compounded further was the [allegations in a DAP convention by Karpal Singh](#) and [poison pen letters in the Umno General Assembly](#) that Anwar Ibrahim was a homosexual. Karpal had even asked Dr Mahathir why is he keeping such minister in the cabinet. Dr Mahathir brushed aside these allegations initially.

As a desperate act, Anwar employed a machiavellian tactic by using Zahid Hamidi as a tool to incite hatred towards Dr Mahathir in the Umno General Assembly in June 1998. The rest as they say, is history.

In the recent [interview with BBC HardTalk](#), Dr Mahathir mentioned that Anwar was blackmailing VK Lingam via the secret recordings made in 2001. The allegations of fixing the appointment of top judges in the country is not relevant to me. What is more telling was the act of blackmailing VK Lingam itself. The one that was taking the recording was non other than Loh Gwo Burne.

This political greenhorn, who is still learning to speak proper Bahasa Malaysia, became the MP of Kelana Jaya under the PKR ticket in 2008 general election. Although Gwo Burne stated that he recorded the conversation out of boredom, it was a full 14 minutes recording nevertheless. He could test his new found camera at the time to record everything else, but he chose to record VK Lingam's conversation non stop for the whole 14 minutes. Furthermore, what astonished me was the fact that Anwar kept the original copy all this while. How did he get the copy? From Gwo Burne himself no doubt.

Now, Gwo Burne is [offering his seat](#) for Anwar to contest in the possible by-election. That whole event was suspiciously conspired by Anwar. If **blackmailing** is Anwar's modus operandi in obtaining power and gaining political mileage, we will see another round of surrendering our sovereignty to foreign powers.



"Picture says a thousand words"

When he was inside the government, this was [what he had to say](#);

BUKIT MERTAJAM 1 Feb. – Datuk Seri Anwar Ibrahim menolak tanggapan sesetengah pemerhati Barat bahawa kemelut ekonomi dan krisis mata wang di negara-negara Asia disebabkan oleh pengurusan yang lembap, rasuah dan pelbagai gejala negatif lain.

Timbalan Perdana Menteri berkata, mereka memberi gambaran seolah-olah ekonomi terjejas kerana pengurusan yang lembap, gejala rasuah dan pertimbangan semata-mata untuk suku sakat serta kepentingan sahabat handai dan keluarga.

Anwar yang juga Menteri Kewangan berkata, kepincangan dan ketidakadilan juga berlaku di Barat dan salah satunya ialah ketidakadilan sistem perdagangan dunia.

"Sistem perdagangan dunia memberi kepentingan kepada negara-negara kaya dan negara-negara industri dan pada masa yang sama menggunakan institusi antarabangsa mempertahankan kepentingan mereka," katanya lagi yang menganggap dakwaan yang dibuat amat kritikal.

But he changed his tune when he was booted out from the government. The man whom everyone in the Pakatan Rakyat idolizes as the only clean and liberally democratic politician, has always been the main protagonist of anti cronyism and nepotisme slogans after his sacking. But people forget that

underneath the seemingly clean image lies several issues contradicting and plaguing his reputation. As per the news in Berita Harian (8 December 1998);

Bapa Anwar miliki saham 20 syarikat

KUALA LUMPUR, Isnin – Ketika Datuk Seri Anwar Ibrahim dan pengikutnya menuduh Perdana Menteri, Datuk Seri Dr Mahathir Mohamad, mengamalkan kronisme, nepotisme dan kolusi, bekas Timbalan Perdana Menteri itu membisu terhadap kepentingan perniagaan keluarga dan rakan rapatnya. Senarai Pendaftar Syarikat menunjukkan anggota keluarga Anwar dan rakan rapatnya adalah peneraju korporat yang penting.

And [another speech he made in 1995](#) which greatly mirrored the situation in Pakatan Rakyat now;

Anwar seru rakyat tolak Pas

HULU TERENGGANU, Selasa – **Rakyat diminta menolak Pas kerana bersandiwara kononnya memperjuangkan kepentingan umat dan syiar Islam sedangkan pada masa sama membantu DAP melenyapkan kepentingan serta masa depan orang Melayu** di Pulau Pinang, kata Datuk Seri Anwar Ibrahim. Timbalan Perdana Menteri menegaskan, sebagai contoh beliau mempunyai bukti bahawa calon DAP yang bertanding menentangnya bagi kerusi Parlimen Permatang Pauh, Abdul Raman Manap, adalah Timbalan Yang Dipertua Pas Bukit Mertajam.

Credibility and integrity throughout a political career is paramount in becoming a great and respected leader. Inconsistency in political principles tantamounts to losing both credibility and integrity. Anwar Ibrahim has not properly addressed this. Changing his stand without proper explanation and not supplementing any evidence towards any doubts about his own inconsistent character will prove to be a bane in gaining confidence from the rest of the nation.

As Stephen Covey once said – “In the last analysis, what we are communicates far more eloquently than anything we say or do”.

A political reformer? The people's saviour? An opportunist? A power hungry extremist?

You be the judge.

CHAPTER 2

The Ketuanan Anwar Movement

Let's move away from all this mumbo jumbo about the swearing over the Quran discussion and focus on a more important matter which is very relevant to the current political scenario we are experiencing now – [Anwar Ibrahim and his misadventures in Malaysian politics](#).

I find that it is imperative to learn about the 'reason for being' of the man we call Anwar Ibrahim. To the majority of Malaysians, they know him only from the moment he was sacked from the government in 1998. But do they really know the man that had ruled the mob with his zest in grabbing the reins of power in the country?

I doubt anybody could remember his stance on any particular issues when he was in the government. To his detractors, he is labeled as one who would play to the gallery and made differing statements to different crowds. They are mostly correct. Deputy Prime Minister Anwar Ibrahim was a very different man from the Parti Keadilan adviser, Anwar Ibrahim.

His lust for power to become the Prime Minister had changed him. It is even exceeding the lust of power of Pak Lah in staying on as the Prime Minister.

Many have heard that Anwar is a great politician and a good orator. Even though he is nowhere near the other Umno political greats such as Ghazali Shafie, Ghaffar Baba, Sardon Jubir and Syed Jaafar Albar, Anwar's participation in mainstream politics and his ascendancy to the penultimate pinnacle of power was practically handed to him on a silver platter.

Many had forgotten that his rise to power was certainly helped by the patronage and blessings of Dr Mahathir. As a matter of fact, Anwar was very much a strong supporter of the issues he was so much against today.

On the case of amending the Constitution in 1993, this is what the Vice President of Umno at that time had [said](#):

Anwar : It's good for our royalty

SINTOK, Fri. – The decision to amend the constitution in relation to the Rulers' immunity is aimed at protecting the Royal institutions and uphold the Rulers' sovereignty, Umno vice-president Datuk Seri Anwar Ibrahim said today. He stressed that the royal institutions would remain because the people had confidence in the constitutional monarchy system. – NST (9 January 1993)

Remember the times when Anwar said he will not challenge Tun Ghaffar Baba?

Anwar : I am not challenging Ghafar for no. 2 position

KUALA LUMPUR, Sat. – Datuk Seri Anwar Ibrahim announced today he will not challenge Ghafar Baba for the Umno deputy presidency during the party's elections in November. The Finance Minister, who is also an Umno vice-president, said his decision was based on his belief that party unity and strength must be enhanced so that the country can continue to enjoy peace and prosperity. – New Sunday Times (25 April 1993)

Ghafar Baba reciprocated the 'selfless' Anwar and thanked him publicly;

KUALA LUMPUR, Mon. – Umno deputy president Ghafar Baba said he appreciated the statement by party vice-president Datuk Seri Anwar Ibrahim that he would not challenge him for the number two post in the November party elections. Ghafar said this when asked about Anwar's statement in a radio talk show over Radio Televisyen Malaysia this morning. – NST (11 May 1993)

The following month, Anwar reiterated his stand not to contest;

KUALA LUMPUR, Jumaat – Naib Presiden Umno, Datuk Seri Anwar Ibrahim, berkata keputusannya tidak mencabar Timbalan Presiden, Ghafar Baba, dalam perhimpunan agung parti itu, November ini, adalah muktamad. "Tiada yang berubah. Apakah ada apa-apa yang berubah? (Ini) kenyataan yang sama daripada dulu. Tidak ada tukar. Saya dah katakan sebelum ini," katanya. – Berita Harian (12 June 1993)

Come November 1993 that year, Anwar humiliated the venerable Ghafar in an Umno general election which was marred by an unprecedented money corruption activity. The rest as they say, is history.

With regards to the affirmative action (NEP) which the new Anwar Ibrahim love to hate, he had said this way back when he was the Finance Minister;

Anwar : Our NEP proved us right

PETALING JAYA, Thurs. – Malaysia's affirmative action aimed at fairer distribution of the nation's wealth under the New Economic Policy (NEP) – long subjected to severe criticisms by Western countries, particularly the United States – has proven to be positively viable in the light of the bloody Los Angeles racial riots. Finance Minister Datuk Seri Anwar Ibrahim said today in the aftermath of the riots, the United States was beginning to talk about affirmative action, distributive justice and a concerned and caring society, actions already implemented in Malaysia. – NST (22 May 1992)

These were only a couple of examples on how Anwar could manipulate certain issues to his advantage. When several news broke out about the apparent mismanagement within Pakatan Rakyat, he chose to conveniently discard them as a non issue.

After nearly 6 months in power, we have come to realise that there is not much difference between the Pakatan Rakyat and Barisan Nasional actually. Both are practising corruption. The silence of Anwar and the absence of any effort to at least castigate the Perak exco members shows how they deal with their own act of corruption. To any observer, their mute silence can only be labeled as condonement.

It's not surprising as Anwar himself is not free from corruption allegations. One big tell tale was his abuse of power that had eventually sent him to jail for 6 years in 1999. Bear in mind the judgment on that particular case still stand till today.

Although many Anwar loyalists may fight tooth and nail in defending the so called strength of Pakatan Rakyat, in reality, this coalition is nothing more than a loose partnership centred on greed of a man in becoming a Prime Minister. Any

seasoned politician from the DAP and PAS would ride on the coattails of Anwar's ambition while simultaneously pushing for their own agenda.

Pakatan Rakyat, with all its perceived splendour and new found popularity, is still an unregistered society. No formal agreement was signed between parties at national level. The dream of having a two party system in Malaysia is unjustifiable when the leaders from these parties could not even work together in the long run. How to successfully work together when even an easy task of creating a common logo had also failed?

Officially, Pakatan Rakyat does not exist. Hence, there would not be much hassle if any of the parties within wanted to extricate itself from it. That is why it was very easy for factions within the parties in Pakatan Rakyat to demonstrate [their animosity openly towards the other coalition party members](#).

Presently, many of Anwar loyalists were left flabbergasted when his challenge to name his cronies were met with [energetic replies in blogs](#). This is not surprising as most of his fans are of a younger generation which are not exposed to his political pedigree prior to his sacking in 1998. Those who are much older would remember his backfired attempt during the 1998 Umno General Assembly.

Anwar's power hungry actions were shoved down Malaysia's throat in a very unfashionable way. The western media [aped about his attempt](#) to 'seize power' come this September.

KUALA LUMPUR, Malaysia (AP) — Malaysian opposition leader **Anwar Ibrahim has vowed to seize power soon**, stepping up his campaign against the government as he fights an accusation of sodomizing a young worker in his office.

Addressing some 7,000 people on Tuesday night, Anwar accused the ruling National Front coalition government of being corrupt, inefficient and uncaring. He promised to set right the problems plaguing the country, including bringing down fuel prices. The government last month raised the price of gasoline by 41% and diesel by a whopping 63%.

Now, for a politician who had promoted the ['masyarakat madani'](#) concept, this is surely an oxymoron indeed. Malaysians have never met anyone who had

made known his ultimate ambition in such a coarse and blatant way. Seizing power is synonymous to the realm of dictators and despotic rulers.

In his latest throw of the dice, he followed the footsteps of Amien Rais of Indonesia when gathering support. The dice? Telling people that he has the [backing of foreigners](#);

BUKIT MERTAJAM 21 Ogos — Hampir di setiap ceramah, Penasihat Parti Keadilan Rakyat (PKR) Datuk Seri Anwar Ibrahim pasti menyebut nama-nama pemimpin asing yang menurutnya memberi sokongan kepada beliau dalam usahanya memenangi hati pengundi di Permatang Pauh.

Antara nama yang disebut ialah bekas Presiden Indonesia, [Gus Dur atau Abdurrahman Wahid](#), bekas Presiden Filipina, Joseph Estrada, ulama terkenal, Yusof Qardhawi dan yang terbaru beliau mengaitkan bekas Perdana Menteri Britain, [Tony Blair kononnya turut menyokongnya](#).

Anwar juga mendakwa kononnya pelabur-pelabur asing sedia membantunya menaikkan ekonomi negara jika beliau menjadi pemimpin.

"Pelabur-pelabur luar pun ada jumpa saya. Mereka cakap, Anwar, kamu pimpinlah negara ini kerana kalau kamu pimpin, ekonomi negara ini akan kami naikkan dalam masa tiga bulan. Itu kamu tak perlu risau," katanya pada hadirin di ceramahnya di Kampung Pertama, Permatang Janggus dekat sini.

What travesty had been committed by Anwar in [blackmailing the public](#) in such ways that could only be deemed as narcissistic and unpatriotic.

Gone were his humility and self reflection. Just like Shakespeare's Macbeth, whose lust of power in becoming the King of Scotland became his only obsession, Anwar's road to achieve power is ungainly and frightening. No wonder PAS' [Mohamad Sabu in an interview in 1998](#), cited that Anwar would be much worse than Dr Mahathir if he had become Prime Minister.

Some believe that his incarceration since 1999 is justifiable in his effort to become the Prime Minister. Some believe he was treated unfairly by a

dictatorial regime. That is why it is only natural that he show some aggression in seizing power.

But his hunger for power stemmed much earlier than 1999. It came the moment he was brought into Umno in 1982. One of the conditions he set upon joining Umno was to be made leader of the Umno Youth movement. In which he was duly elected under the blessings of Dr Mahathir several months after that. 11 years on, he challenged the late Tun Ghaffar Baba for the deputy presidency of Umno.

Comparing with Tengku Razaleigh's approach in challenging Pak Lah, Anwar came across as arrogant and self centred with a dash of megalomania. To digress a bit, it is quite ironic that the supposedly authoritarian and dictatorial rule of Dr Mahathir had in fact approved the application of Parti KeAdilan to become a political party in April 1999. This had provided Anwar and his followers the platform to be active in politics and have a chance in becoming MPs.

Anwar Ibrahim may seem to love the rakyat. But his actions and the act of hubris he committed illustrated that he love himself more. He wants Malaysia to make him the Prime Minister by hook or by crook. His apparent lack of piety and convention is not important. This is what I call as Ketuanan Anwar movement.

Between Ketuanan Melayu, Ketuanan Rakyat and Ketuanan Anwar, which one do you think is his priority?

CHAPTER 3

The Morality of Malaysian Politicians

As a *'mukadimah'* of this article, I would like to take us back in time to 1970 when [Tun Abdul Razak](#), as the Director of the National Operations Council, was in charge of a disarrayed Alliance Party. In June 1970, Tun Abdul Razak, the then Deputy Prime Minister of Malaysia, had said these words to the Umno leaders during the 24th Umno general assembly;

"Saya suka terus terang, jika parti kita sentiasa sanggup menjadi PEMEGANG AMANAH RAKYAT DENGAN IKHLAS, saya tidak bimbang akan cabaran dari pihak lain. Tetapi jika kita tidak ikhlas dalam menjalankan peranan kita sebagai pemegang amanah rakyat, sifat itu dengan sendirinya akan menghancurkan kita. Sebaliknya jika ahli-ahli menjadi pemegang amanah rakyat secara ikhlas, tetapi pertubuhan tidak mempunyai pemimpin-pemimpin yang ikhlas, kekuatan kita akan menjadi pentas pergelutan kuasa sesama sendiri dan ini akan merugikan kita."

He had stressed on the unity of Umno members and the sincerity of its leaders in lieu of the tumultuous year they had before. I wonder if Pak Lah still remember those words. After all, he was the committee member of the NOC.

Being the trustholder of the rakyat's aspiration is imperative in today's political landscape. After all, they are voted in by the people to do their job. Judging from the actions of most Umno leaders, I just had to feel quite relief that the good Tun didn't got to live to see the damage they had done to the coalition that was created 35 years ago.

Due to the instability of Barisan Nasional, it's not surprising that the news on the imminent crossovers are quite rampant. Many had analysed and discussed, up to the point of nausea, regarding the news of potential crossovers of MPs from Barisan Nasional to Pakatan Rakyat. The perpetrator of this news is non other than Anwar Ibrahim.

To digress a bit, let's look at his grand objectives in claiming back his political manhood.

After Anwar Ibrahim won the Permatang Pauh by election, he was reported to have shouted his political manifesto below to his jubilant supporters.

[Rockybru](#) posted this;

"This victory is due to the commitment and the support and the conviction of the people, not only the people of Permatang Pauh but of Malaysians in general. We demand change. We want freedom. Freedom from injustice. We demand an independent judiciary. We want to give a real meaning to the spirit of Merdeka. In terms of the economy, we demand a fairer distribution of wealth for the majority. Not for the corrupt few, (not for) families, sons and sons-in-law."

Basically, Anwar is advertising;

- Freedom from injustice;
- To have an independent judiciary; and
- To have a fairer distribution of wealth for the majority.

To me, this is just a form of REBRANDING from what the BN government had been doing all this while.

Think about it.

Are there any gross injustice being committed onto the rakyat since 1957? Of course, we cannot deny that there were [pockets of dissent](#) and [sporadic unrest](#) in several occasions. But in a multi racial government of Malaysia, realistically, it can never be 100% perfect. If Anwar Ibrahim wishes to lead an utopian government where everyone is happy and satisfied, then perhaps, he will be the first man in 8,000 years to achieve that.

Actually, all these calls of injustice stemmed from his belief that an injustice had been occurred due to his failure in becoming the Prime Minister in 1998. How dare they prevent him from his God given right to become PM! Heck, he had

coveted that position the instance he joined Umno in 1982. After all, although he was a close buddy with all the PAS leaders at that time, PAS was never a viable vehicle in realising his orgasmic dream.

After that, the people were swayed and enticed by him and led to believe that his thwarted grand ambition is also an injustice done to the people. That was where it all started. A purely selfish reason mutated into [something akin to a national agenda](#).

“Save Anwar Ibrahim, save Malaysia”. That is the story arc for the [Ketuanan Anwar ideology](#). Damn, that’s a catchy tag line. Hopefully Pakatan Rakyat will acknowledge me if they use that tagline during Anwar’s sodomy trial next week.

As for the judiciary, his PERSONAL opinion on its non independence really does not makes sense because he was [freed by the same court of law in 2004](#). Independent judiciary according to him, is only applicable when the judgment goes his way. Although his [strongest supporters had praised the judiciary](#) on their freedom to exercise their independence, Anwar Ibrahim suddenly claimed the judiciary is not independent anymore in 2008. By the way, if he is also supporting the idea of independent judiciary, then [he is echoing Pak Lah’s sentiments](#). Since both want the judiciary to be more independent, then what’s new anyway? Oh yeah, both seem to be quite clever in the art of rebranding too.

As for the fairer contribution of wealth for the majority, well, who are the majority? I assume he was referring to the non Umnoputras. Obviously he was twisting the knife on Pak Lah’s reputation as the biggest offender of corruption. But who are the majority of the non Umnoputras? I assume they are the Malays.

In this instance, since [Anwar Ibrahim and PAS are also championing](#) the special rights of the Malays, then shouldn’t all this promises made by him are actually a form of rebranding of the Barisan Nasional policy and also the provisions in the Malaysian constitution?

Therefore, since Anwar Ibrahim has called on the MPs from Barisan Nasional to crossover into Pakatan Rakyat, we have to question, what else does he have to offer? Anwar Ibrahim had denied any form of bribery in order to entice the MPs.

No money or ministerial positions are offered to the potential traitors (so he says). If Anwar's 'carrot' to the MPs are just those areas that were mentioned above, then what drastic difference could they make for their constituency? Assuming they are selfless and people oriented MPs in the first place of course.

If everyone in Pakatan Rakyat thinks that [Ezam is a traitor](#), then what should we call the so called 30 MPs that will jump ship to the fold of Pakatan Rakyat? Won't they be called traitors as well by the 51% voters that voted for them in March 2008? The point is, it does not matter from which party you're jumping to. The fact that you change your political principles and aspirations to the tune of a populist movement, will definitely degrade your credibility.

To supplement the article in [Sakmongkol](#), where it argues in terms of numbers regarding the potential cross overs, I would like to offer the morality issue behind it.

Historically, any political leader who changed their political aspirations by jumping parties from one spectrum to the next (from government to opposition and vice versa), will fail as a leader. Why? Because they have lost their credibility. People will not see you as an honest person because 'changing stripes' as they say, is clouded with an underlying agenda. Be it personal or otherwise.

An honest leader has integrity. When you have integrity, you have credibility. When people see you as having credibility, automatically you will become trustworthy. A leader trusted by the rakyat WILL become a great leader. A leader trusted by all of the people, will move a mountain if he wanted to.

That is why, Datuk Onn Jaafar, Umno founding father and a very much respected leader amongst the Malays, did not fare too well when he drastically changed his political stand. Ibrahim Ali, with all the gung ho attitude he showed in parliament or during political campaigns, is very much seen as a non person. Tun Lim Chong Eu is quite lucky when he jumped ship from MCA and founded Gerakan. But that was only because Gerakan has similar political aspirations like the MCA albeit with a slight multi racial mix. The party he founded that preceded Gerakan, the United Democratic Party, went into oblivion due to its unappealing party manifesto (most leaders were from the left wing Labour Party).

Meanwhile, After a long hiatus, Tengku Razaleigh Hamzah had only now could reclaim back some of his credibility. But don't let this fool you. If in the future he holds power, many of his detractors will dig up his colourful past and play it ad nauseam.

You will not go far if your credibility is in doubt. You will not go far if the people you are leading do not trust you. This will be the dilemma of those so called 'traitors'. Even Anwar Ibrahim, with all his pomp and splendour, is still not trusted by majority of Malaysians. Why? Because his credibility is tainted. It is hard to portray oneself as having the political clout to lead Malaysia when you have a lot of past political baggage to contend with. He knows this too well. That is why he is banking on the tactic of [playing the racial card](#) towards the BN government.

By making the [BN much less attractive](#) than the PR, hopefully he could entice the party hoppers to join him. But these MPs should remember that they are the trustholder of their constituency. Any wrong move they make will quickly erode any credibility they have. Having moral obligation and sincerity was what Tun Abdul Razak had been advocating 38 years ago. Without it, even nations will fall.

If Anwar Ibrahim and Pak Lah don't have it, then what will become to the fate of this nation?

CHAPTER 4

Anwar's play acting is encyclopedic! (Part 1)

Today, the lower rung leaders of Pakatan Rakyat issued a [joint statement](#) which to me revealed how delusional they are. Not to mention their arrogant presumption of taking over the government. Anyway, that is what to be expected from Pakatan Rakyat. One paragraph of the joint statement however, stood out like a sore thumb.

"Kami yakin terdapat segelintir elit pemerintah BN yang diketuai UMNO bercita-cita untuk mencipta huru hara agar dapat membantut perubahan, melumpuhkan demokrasi dan merampas hak-hak rakyat Malaysia yang dilindungi Perlembagaan Persekutuan. Kami khuatir seandainya masalah sengaja dibiarkan merebak dan berlarutan untuk memberikan alasan kepada pemerintah bertindak keras termasuk penangkapan beramai-ramai menggunakan Undang-undang Darurat untuk membendung kemaraan rakyat yang menuntut pembelaan."

Pakatan Rakyat, who had often accused Barisan Nasional guilty of the country's instability and disrupting social harmony had made a seditious statement themselves – inciting anxiety and public disorder without any proof. What evidence have they got in issuing that statement? Nothing.

That statement was so irresponsible and it left little to wonder about their own political maturity. Having to resort to this filthy tactic just to [incite public hatred towards the government](#) is definitely breaking the rule of law. The Home Ministry may not have enough brainpower to pick this up. Even the [Bar Council may not have the proper independence](#) to realize this.

We had several instances in our past history that spreading malicious rumours over the media or even through SMSes will have severe repercussions to the guilty party. Often, for the sake of nation's peace and harmony, the Sedition Act or the Internal Security Act were used to quell such devastating rumours.

There were many instances where rumour-mongers were put to jail under those Acts for instigating public disorder. The more recent case was the SMS on

the [mass conversion of Muslims into Christianity](#) in an Ipoh church back in 2006. The perpetrators of this rumour were put to jail under the Sedition Act for the near riot it has caused in front of the church.

Same rule should apply here. Shouldn't these leaders be chastised as well?

Pakatan Rakyat should realise that it has gone bankrupt of any moral values. This is just a [desperate attempt to affirm their self professed popularity](#). Not to mention that the bait they had used might not come to fruition. [Majority of the people had called Anwar's bluff](#) on his intention to be the Prime Minister by 16th September 2008 and his credibility is questioned yet again.

Why does [Anwar need to go to Taipei?](#) He had in numerous times mentioned that he already has more than 30 MPs in his pocket. If he had ~~bought~~ persuaded them over, why bother [to meet these people there?](#) Just wait for them to come back and sort it out then.

To me he is becoming more and more like an ageing Shakespearean actor who had [run out of scenes to play with](#). He probably will not escape the sodomy charges this time around. In the end, his encyclopedia of play-acting may have just run out of pages.

CHAPTER 5

Anwar's play acting is encyclopedic! (Part 2)

Anwar Ibrahim had said these words 2 weeks ago. Sounded sweet to the ears of almost everyone. The Star had almost given him a messiah-like personality. But lets look at it from a different stand point shall we?

[He said:](#)

Malaysia belongs to all races, says Anwar

While protecting the rights of the Malays, the Chinese and Indians must also be given an assurance on their citizenship and position in the country, said Parti Keadilan Rakyat (PKR) adviser Datuk Seri Anwar Ibrahim.

The Permatang Pauh Member of Parliament said the country belonged to all races and not to just one community.

“With such an assurance, it does not mean that you will be sacrificing the rights of another community,” he said during a breakfast gathering with Permatang Pauh PKR Wanita members in Yayasan Aman in Penanti yesterday.

Anwar said when Independence was declared on Aug 31, 1957, the Malays, Chinese and Indians were assured the freedom of speech and freedom to form associations.

What he was saying was not racist in nature. In fact, he would certainly be applauded by the Pakatan rakyat supporters, especially the non Malays.

What if we we rephrase his first paragraph into this?

“In order to protect the rights of the Malays, they must be given assurances that their rights are not trampled or extinguished by the Chinese and the Indians.”

Now, if an Umno leader had said the above, I will bet my whole life savings that this sentence will be viewed as racist by the Pakatan Rakyat's supporters. [But why then would it be viewed as racist](#) when in fact the sentence is just rephrasing Anwar's original words?

In a way, this is what Anwar is also saying. But when he says he is [championing the Malay rights](#), he is not a racist. Then why are the Umno leaders be deemed as one? This is the question that needs to be answered by the Umno leaders themselves.

Furthermore, if I ask Anwar Ibrahim what are the rights of another community which were sacrificed in order for his own race to prosper, I reckon, he could not even answer it properly. Especially when he was in the government for 16 years before, he was very mute on this very subject. In fact, he was [against any steps to embolden](#) the other communities.

As if there were not enough assurances already by the government to the non Malays. Even though we opted for integration, rather than assimilation, many efforts have been made by the BN government that enable the non Malays to still retain their racial identity. Compare this with the minorities in other countries. Their racial identities are being blurred tremendously.

I also agree with [Lawyer Kampung's take](#) on the recent statement by Pakatan Rakyat.

In a more current development, Anwar said [this](#) in The Star today;

The Permatang Pauh MP also claimed that the transition to the new Government has been delayed a few days pending the meeting with Datuk Seri Abdullah Ahmad Badawi to ensure a smooth and peaceful transition.

He said it was up to Abdullah to agree to the meeting and its date.

Anwar said that in a letter submitted to Abdullah on Monday, Pakatan had made four demands to ensure a smooth transition:

- 1) That Barisan does not hinder or prevent the MPs from acting in accordance with their conscience, constitutional rights and independent judgement;
- 2) That the Barisan government should not invoke the Internal Security Act to detain the MPs or any other current Pakatan MPs;
- 3) That the Barisan government does not invoke emergency laws or police powers or suspend the Constitution or dissolve Parliament; and
- 4) That the Barisan government shall not impose roadblocks or impede MPs from going to Parliament or any institution of government.

What if Pak Lah says no to all the demands above? Should Anwar then go back home in Bukit Segambut and call it a day?

What the Pakatan Rakyat supporters failed to realise is, if the MPs defect today and tender their resignation from their parties in Barisan Nasional and join Pakatan Rakyat, Barisan Nasional does not have locus standi to invoke all the 4 points above. Because, legally, Barisan Nasional is not in power anymore. Therefore, all the government's machinery is legally controlled by the Pakatan Rakyat.

So why the demands? Wouldn't it be easier if Anwar and the traitors just hold their press conference today and declare that they are in Pakatan Rakyat as at this day. Pak Lah will have no choice but to step down as he is no longer hold the majority of the Dewan Rakyat!

Why all the fuss in negotiating with Pak Lah? Does Anwar think the people are idiots? All this hullabaloo is just trying to confuse the people and not make him look ridiculous to all the [promises he made since April 23rd](#);

KOTA KINABALU: The Pakatan Rakyat is in a position to form the Federal Government and it will be done **no later than Malaysia Day on Sept 16**, according to Parti Keadilan Rakyat adviser Datuk Seri Anwar Ibrahim.

Reiterating that the three-party alliance had the numbers and was in no rush to replace Barisan Nasional, the former deputy prime minister said it would all be in the timing of the announcement.

"God willing we will be there. If not next month, the following month, if not June or July, on Merdeka (Aug 31) or Malaysia Day. **I think we should not exceed beyond that,**" he told reporters on arrival in Sabah on Wednesday.

In my opinion, along with Pak Lah, Anwar is also under siege. Not by anyone, but by his own lust of power. He knows the premiership which by the way has never been in his grasp, is drifting further away with the advent of the [Dream Team](#). Plus, his sodomy trials is due to continue next week.

[Making excuses here and there](#) can be very weary. Even writing this article proves to be very tiring for me. Just bring the MPs and make them resign from their parties and all this so called meetings of negotiation will be redundant. But Anwar did not take this easier and more peaceful path did he? Why?

[Only rational people can answer this.](#)

How thick is [his encyclopedia anyway?](#)



Anwar Ibrahim adjusting his specs while thinking what he would do if he is the PM

CHAPTER 6

Anwar's play acting is encyclopedic! (Part 3)

Remember the times when Anwar Ibrahim kept on telling us that he has the numbers?



Trust me one more time people..., we have the numbers!

Anwar is portraying himself as a person that has full control of the situation and trying to make us believe that the so called froggies are clamouring around him in full support. And it is all through their own voluntary conviction.

But, if we analyse all his actions, I can only see that Anwar Ibrahim, in the effort to throw away the sodomy charges, has turned himself into a beggar politician.

We can only be naive if we think that he did not entice the Barisan Nasional MPs with money and position of power. We would be dumb to think that when PKR MPs chased the Barisan MPs all the way to Taiwan, we deemed that as nothing short of appropriate.

Now I am not saying he does not have the numbers. But I have a tough time reconciling the idea of him having the popular “de facto majority” and the contradictory reality in which he actually begged for it.

Picture this, if Anwar had kept on reminding us again and again that he has the bloody numbers, then why was he so panicky that he had to get his [MPs to go to Taiwan and beg for their support](#)? He knows that when the date of September 16 was fast approaching, he had no choice but to make that last ditch effort.

Tian Chua, the chief propaganda of PKR can justify his intention all he wants. But from what I saw when reading his body language, he made himself look like a desperate salesman trying to fulfill an impossible quota before the deadline looms nearer.

He said;

“It has created publicity that the MPs are there to avoid a regime change back home and the Taiwanese society do not want to be part of that process. They do not want to be part of any corruption or transactions to stop a democratic transformation of Malaysia,” he said.

“This is a significant trip for us. We are sharing the same hotel with the Barisan MPs and hope to share with them how Taiwan has developed from a dictatorship to a healthy democracy with checks and balance.”

Can you believe this guy? If the Taiwanese do not want to be part of any corruption then for certain they would not support Tian Chua’s effort. We know a few MPs had come forward with allegations that [Pakatan Rakyat are bribing them to cross over](#) don’t we? So, what is up Tian Chua? Feeling a wee bit demented are we?

And he wants to share how Taiwan became a democracy? He wants to teach the BN MPs about this? How will you do that when you yourself do not respect democracy?

He [further add](#);

He said Taiwan and Malaysia shared a similar background and the former, after 50 years of dictatorship, had managed to transform its politics into a vibrant two-party system.

“That is something we should learn from them,” he said

Basically Tian Chua wanted Malaysia to be just like Taiwan’s parliament. We look forward to the day when our [parliamentary sessions will look like this](#);



DAP and PKR MPs swarmed the opposition leader, Najib after he disagreed with Prime Minister Anwar



Teresa Kok went berserk and tried to stomp KJ's head after he commented on her VPL



Tian Chua's shoe flew and landed on the Speaker's head when told he could not claim for his Taiwan trip air tickets

Okay, lets move away from that joker Tian Chua and come back to his boss, Anwar Ibrahim.

People have asked me several times whether he does or does not have the numbers. In my honest opinion, Anwar never had enough MPs to begin with. At least, he does not have any confirmed assurances. This is based on his contradictory statements that [were reported](#);

The Opposition leader said he would even give Abdullah the list of names of Barisan MPs wanting to defect at their meeting.

Asked why he still refused to give the exact number of defecting MPs, or their names, Anwar replied there was fear the MPs would be detained or harassed.

Now, if he is afraid to announce the names of his so called froggies fearing that they will be detained or harrassed, why then is he willing to give the list of those same names to Pak Lah? Logically, won't Pak Lah, right after the meeting, direct some people to harrass and detain those froggies?

Is this not a laughable statement by Anwar? But this is of course not a laughing matter.

If Anwar had said that he has more than 31 MPs in his bag and the numbers is growing by the hours, then by now, he should have the whole MPs in parliament by his side! How many hours had lapsed since last Tuesday? 120 hours?

120 + 31 MPs + 82 opposition members = More than enough seats to be a dictator.

I know what he was saying was only rhetoric. But with such sheer confidence (outwardly at most), Anwar should just get all those 100 over MPs he got from Barisan Nasional to join him in his press conference that day.

Right after the general election in March, Anwar immediately flew to Sarawak. It was alleged that he met the most senior active politician in Malaysia currently – Datuk Patinggi Taib Mahmud. Anwar offered Taib Mahmud the position of Deputy Prime Minister if he and his party would crossover to Pakatan Rakyat. Is this not a form of bribery too?

Taib Mahmud declined the offer. And it was only due to his loyalty and reverence towards Tun Dr Mahathir.

Why is Anwar so desperate in toppling this current government? It can only be one thing. His current sodomy trial.

When his bluff last Tuesday was called by the Prime Minister, Anwar has to make another diversionary tactic. On Tuesday, he requested 4 issues to be addressed by the Prime Minister. Because he was caught with his pants down when the Prime Minister throw the ball in his court, Anwar now is calling for an emergency parliament session.

And he made it a point to stress that this session [must be held by 23rd September 2008](#). Not a day after that.

See how desperate a beggar can be? He is even more desperate to be the prime minister than Najib or Ku Li. And that, is really telling. Leader of the Opposition should act as a leader of the opposition. Not as a megalomaniac.

How all the supporters of Pakatan Rakyat could not see through this smokescreen is beyond me. For the love of God people, WAKE UP!

CHAPTER 7

Anwar's play acting is encyclopedic! (Part 4)

Nearly two weeks ago, the broad smile of Anwar Ibrahim showed how happy he was sitting beside his old campus mate, Nasarudin Hashim. He showed the world that it is not impossible to entice a *wakil rakyat* from BN to change sides. What a coup! The crossover drama queen was so happy, he was confident of luring more people from the other side.

He [was reported as saying](#):

KUALA LUMPUR, Jan 26 — More Barisan Nasional lawmakers will cross over to Parti Keadilan Rakyat (PKR) soon following Datuk Nasaruddin Hashim's defection, Datuk Seri Anwar Ibrahim predicted today.

The opposition leader expressed confidence that there will be a slew of defections occurring fortnightly from February onwards

Beaming with pride over his success in catching an ADUN from Umno, [he gleefully said](#):

"We welcome him and I see it as a beginning of a new wave," said Anwar.

The puppet Menteri Besar of Perak chipped in and went one step further- [there will be more defections, he said](#). In fact, they were actively working to ensure it is a reality.

Perak Mentri Besar Datuk Seri Mohammad Nizar Jamaluddin, who is also state PAS deputy commissioner, said there were unconfirmed reports that more Barisan representatives planned to follow in Nasaruddin's footsteps.

"There will be more crossovers... we are working on it," he said.

Due to the [sudden defection of Nasarudin over to PKR](#), Umno was in a panic mode. To rub salt onto the wound, Anwar Ibrahim then [issued statements ridiculing Umno](#). A schadenfreude is what this man is.

Perihal dikumpul wakil-wakil rakyat Umno, ulangi angkat sumpah (sumpah lagi!) di depan Najib (kaedah apa pula?) biasa saja dalam tradisi mereka. Tetapi lantaran penyesalan mereka akan kehilangan tokoh Perak, apakah muslihat terhenti di situ?

Then, that crossover was the mileage Anwar Ibrahim needed to boost his waning popularity. It has come to a point that Anwar Ibrahim had to depend on pinching people across the political spectrum just to prop up his shaky platform.

Fast forward not too long after that, cracks began to appear in Pakatan Rakyat's dreamboat. Talks of a few ADUNs from Pakatan crossing over became more and more rampant. Chief DAP of Perak, Ngeh Koo Ham immediately [urged that the anti hopping law be enacted](#). His justifications were:

"He should be able to go back to the people and say, 'Look, there has been a change of situation. For your sake, I want to change parties. Do you agree?' he said, adding 'there should be no impediments."

But he failed to look into the mirror and see that his face was just muddied by the act of Anwar's crossover plot i.e. Nasarudin Hashim. A man of integrity would almost always look back and urge Nasarudin to vacate his seat before crossing over to Pakatan. When Anwar has no integrity whatsoever, it is up to the rest of Pakatan leaders to point out the obvious.

Alas, a credible politician is hard to find. The loose knot that tied Pakatan Rakyat together began to unravel. Upon the resignation of the two ADUNs from PKR, two letters of resignation appeared on the desk of the Speaker of the State Assembly. That was a fine example of Pakatan's weakness. An undated resignation letter. No doubt, the brainchild of Anwar Ibrahim from his 1994 Sabah state election days.

At least the [BN had never resorted itself to sign undated resignation letters](#) as soon they become ADUNs. This shows that Pakatan Rakyat is afraid of its own shadows. And to think they want more people to join them! Who wants to join a coalition reeking with *cross-overophobia* but at the same time urging people to cross over?!

A strong leader concentrates on strengthening their own team first before trying to weaken the opposing team. If you are not that strong in the first place, minor hitches will tear your team apart.

Kalau kail panjang sejengkal, lautan dalam jangan diduga.

You will be caught with your pants down in the process. As I see it, all this revealed how weak the opposition is. [Lim Guan Eng even had to issue warnings](#) to all of his *wakil rakyat*! What kind of polity is this? Schoolyard politics?

Their desperation became acute when [Statutory Declarations were made hastily by two PKR Aduns](#) in Perak! Hello YBs, confessions in SDs are no longer taken seriously ever since RPK started this trend.

Not only that, MB Nizar even made the desperate announcement to dissolve the state assembly without the consent of the Sultan! Putting the cart before the horse like this certainly had annoyed the Sultan. Where are your manners?

People can crossover to you but your people cannot do the same from your side is it? The reason these people do that is simply because [you are not strong enough](#). Just like the laws of attraction, power makes everything sexy. Since Anwar is not as powerful and charismatic as he thought he would be, the result had been too obvious. The piper's flute had lost its magic. [Numerous broken promises](#) had tarnished his image.

A commentator in a [blogsite was heard complaining](#):

How can BN form the new state government with the stupid defectors?

The stupid defectors fail the voters. It is their own self-interest and decision to defect but is that the will of the voters ?

That would be the same type of question people will ask should Anwar [gets his 30 frogs to change their party affiliation](#).

Forming the government is never easy. Not as easy as it sounds. Certainly not as dreamy as ['We have the numbers! 30 MPs will cross over!'](#)

The mess that we see in Perak is only 1/13th of the kind of mess we would have seen if Anwar Ibrahim's dream to get 30 MPs were realised. And it all generated from Anwar's big mistake. Enticing people to change party and political standing is the only modus operandi that he knows (after effectively thought about and execute the idea in 1994 Sabah elections).

[Anwar Ibrahim started this call and promoted the idea of mass crossovers.](#) However now, he has no moral conviction and the integrity whatsoever to say that all the cross overs from Pakatan Rakyat to BN is illegal or immoral.

Insecure people will talk big. But everyone knows its 100% bullshit. I have stated before, and I will say it now. [Anwar Ibrahim never had the numbers to begin with.](#)

The [taste of your own medicine](#) had never been this bitter eh? But then again, Anwar is used to tasting his own medicine. *Berani buat, berani tanggung YB!*

The Pakatan Rakyat's state government in Perak had failed to balance the needs of its people. Due to DAP's arrogance, they had bulldozed their administration without regards to the feelings of the majority. DAP could not understand that the monarchy is there to safeguard's the Malays' interest in the state.

First and foremost, the monarchy must be taken care of. The 'sultan-less' psyche of the DAP could not see that the monarchy and the Malays relate to each other in a symbiotic kind of relationship.

The monarchy needs the Malays' support while the Malays see their sultans as the ultimate guardian. Heck, Haris Ibrahim and RPK even issued out memorandums and petition to the Agong to seek his support!

The DAP should have taken care of the Malays' feelings. Ultimately, the Sultan will not lose face in front of his subjects. No amount of excuses can save you if the Sultan feels [that the Malay rights are taken away.](#)

True to his form, Anwar Ibrahim will gather Pakatan Rakyat supporters to [show their displeasure](#) at the monarchy tonight. But he quickly rectified the [reasons behind this mass rally as:](#)

Opposition Leader Datuk Seri Anwar Ibrahim said the Perak Menteri Besar's refusal to resign despite the request by Sultan Azlan Shah did not mean Pakatan Rakyat was on a collision course with the Perak palace.

But his statement became comical when he uttered:

"Considering the spirit of constitutional monarchy and Parliamentary democracy, this takeover if allowed will be a disaster to the democratic system," Anwar told reporters after a meeting with PR leaders.

Did he honestly think his 'Project 916' takeover won't be a disaster to the democratic system? To me, Anwar Ibrahim cannot say anything more because he was the initiator of all these ideas of crossing over. Does he not remember?

By the way, I am of the opinion that all this is wrong. More or less, I agree with the views of [Tengku Razaleigh](#) and [Tun Dr Mahathir](#). We may win this [battle but could lose the war later on](#).

But, since this is already a foregone conclusion, what we can do is to minimise the impact of this debacle. [Legitimacy of the new BN state government needs to be solidified further](#). Since there [will not be a state election](#), what BN should do is to put in motion a vote of no confidence on MB Nizar.

This will surely reaffirm the notion that Pakatan Rakyat government is no longer wanted.

From then on, BN can decide their next course of action. Congratulations to Dato' Dr Zambry, the ADUN for Pangkor as the new Menteri Besar of Perak. *Diharap beliau tidak akan menjadi seperti kaduk naik junjung*. The BN of Perak must perform better this time around and show to the people that it is a far more competent and efficient coalition to govern the state. To gain the correct perception is vital.

But in the mean time, Anwar Ibrahim should just keep his mouth shut, sit back and see the mirror image effect of [his own dream](#) taking stage in front of his eyes. Oh wait, he can also reminisce about what I had written in the first paragraph above. Good times eh?

CHAPTER 8

Fret Not! Anwar Ibrahim Will Help The US Economy

People had asked me how the United States can overcome the sub prime crisis they are in right now. As speculated, the sub prime crisis has transformed itself into a major economic crisis in the US. It's tentacles [have reached many other areas in economic superstructures](#) around the world. In my humble opinion and also the opinion of all Pakatan Rakyat's supporters, there is only one way to overcome the economic crisis in the United States.

Get Anwar Ibrahim to be the US economic adviser.

Due to his brilliant economic background, he will shorten the NPL criterium to 3 months, increase the interest rates to 20% or more so that the dollar can appreciate, and of course, he will stop the US government from bailing out companies. His austerity measures and creative destruction approach will surely rejuvenate the world's economy and bring back the bull into the stock markets worldwide.

Not only that, he will get the US to stop [giving subsidies to the wheat farmers in the US](#) and other forms of subsidies in that country. This will definitely kick start the economy on the right track.

I'm sure if he has not already been appointed as their economic adviser, Anwar Ibrahim is working really hard and cracking his head on how to save the world from this potential economic disaster never been seen since the [Great Depression of the late 20's](#). How else could you explain his silence and absence for the past week or so? Maybe he is in Washington D.C. right now!

Since the US will be in the good hands of the Darling of the Western Media, their economy will rebound in no time. Take this excerpt in [an article by the International Crisis Group](#) for example;

In the midst of Asian Financial Crises of 1997, Anwar **was hailed for guiding Malaysia through this period of instability**. He backed free market principles and called for "**creative destruction**", highlighting the need to reconsider the proximity of business and politics in Malaysia. He

advocated for greater accountability and **refused to offer government bail-outs to companies facing bankruptcy**. He also instituted widespread spending cuts and cut government expenditure on mega projects. **These prescriptions saved the Malaysian economy and earned Anwar many accolades, including the title "Asian of the Year" by Newsweek International in 1998.**

Thank God we have Anwar Ibrahim at that time to save our economy and propelled Malaysia into greater heights after 1997! Without him, we may have found ourselves deeply indebted to the IMF and our strategic local businesses and industries may be pried open for corporate raiders to feast upon.

His [uncanny ability to articulate his economic credentials](#) is a breath of fresh air when comparing to other economic expert wannabes like Dr Mahathir or Paul Krugman or even [Henry Paulson](#).

Anwar, as the adviser will definitely tell the American government why [waste USD700 billion](#) just to bail out their banking industry? In Malaysia back in 1998, Anwar was against any government bailouts. Citing creative destruction, the prospect of letting huge banks like Maybank and Hong Leong Group etc with thousands of workers go bust was vital in the pursuit of good governance and being market friendly.

Unfortunately, Malaysia went ahead with the setting up of special vehicles such as Danamodal and Danaharta. These SPVs were responsible in buying all the NPLs and help free the banking industry from the credit crunch and pumped the much needed liquidity in the market. How irresponsible the government was!

Coming back to the matter at hand, Anwar will also tell the US SEC (equivalent to Malaysian SC) to not impose capital control ala Malaysia or China. There are plans that the [SEC are going to extend the ban on short selling](#) in the share market. This is a big no-no in the free market economies. Why penalise the [fund managers](#) in doing their jobs? After all, they are selling the shares and [only making small gains from their own selfless deeds](#).

Anwar, with his credentials as the [economic adviser for the Republic of Turkey](#) will definitely prove a boon for the US economy. Turkey, with him as the adviser since 2005, had progressed [to greater heights economically](#). Their borrowing

rate is currently 16.75%! This will not last long as due to high inflation (over 10%), the Central Bank of Turkey will increase it by 50 basis points to 17.25% soon.

Thank God Anwar was there!

With his help as a bridge between Turkey and the IMF, the country managed to secure [new loans from IMF totalling USD10 billion \(RM34 Billion\)](#). This is on top of the outstanding loan of [USD16 billion they received much earlier](#).

As the result, their [budget deficit increases from 0.6% in 2006 to about 2.5% – 3% in 2008-09](#). A job well done for Turkey's brilliant economic adviser.

So you see, the solution is simple. Anwar Ibrahim, the greatest saviour of Malaysia will use his expertise in economics and help save the world from the worse economic crisis since the Great Depression. [We should definitely elect him as our Prime Minister](#).

Bush and Lee Kuan Yew will be so proud.

CHAPTER 9

The bête noire of Malaysia

When everyone is focussing their sights on the impending change in Umno's leadership, I have just one more article on Datuk Seri Anwar Ibrahim that needs to be posted here. I will try my best to state here that this will be the last one on Anwar before we move on to other issues. I foresee that my next few articles will be about KJ, Tan Sri Muhyiddin, Tengku Razaleigh Hamzah and probably Datuk Seri Ali Rustam, not necessarily in that order.

This posting was derived after reading this article below at www.dinmerican.wordpress.com;

IS ANWAR AN IMF BOY?

29 August 2008

by Allyn Young (Allyn Young is a pseudonym used by a Cambridge educated economist who lectures at one of the major public universities in the Kuala Lumpur area)

When [Prime Minister Mahathir Mohamad](#) finally announced the inevitable sacking of his Deputy Prime Minister and Minister of Finance Anwar Ibrahim on September 3, 1998 following the introduction of Keynesian-style capital controls a day earlier, a group of anti-imperialists and third world nationalists proclaimed that Mahathir had finally laid to rest the so called neo-liberal malaise that Anwar had purportedly subjected the Malaysian economy to with disastrous results.

Anwar was branded as a puppet of the IMF, who was simply seeking personal glory 'by choosing to sell the interest of Malaysians to foreigners'. Mahathir – himself considered an authoritarian leader who had destroyed the key institutional instruments of governance in Malaysia to check any credible challenges to his leadership – became an icon among these anti-imperialists the world over.

Using evidence from my advisory role, this commentary is targeted to correct this presumptuous claim. Instead of focusing on the scientific and historical evidence associated with epistemology, the anti-imperialists and pseudo critics of the Washington Consensus(IMF, the World Bank and the US Treasury) found a straw man in Anwar and a savior in Mahathir to build this 'great story' of how Mahathir saved Malaysia from certain collapse by 'discontinuing Anwar's deleterious neoliberal financial policies'.

While I have much to say about the circumstances that faced Malaysia in 1997 which offered the country the option of seeking capital controls when Korea, Thailand, Indonesia and Philippines had to go cap in hand to the IMF, I focus on Anwar's actions as Malaysia's Finance Minister.

Anwar had time again insisted in some of his meetings that the free flow of capital and the Malaysian ringgit was causing havoc to exchange rates and the stock market. He even lamented once over the massive offshore ringgit kept in Singapore that affected Bank Negara's capacity to manage monetary policy. He cited that instruments were necessary to provide sufficient control of the ringgit against volatile fluctuations caused by currency traders. What he did not share with Mahathir was his stand on corruption and cronyism.

I remember Anwar saying that all Government linked Companies(GLCs) destabilized by losses should be supported with fresh loans but only after proper audit scrutiny. Now no scholar or sensible politician – whatever their ideological learnings – would support bailouts that arise from losses in consumer benefits through a misallocation of funds to the corrupt and incapable. Anwar was in support of Keynesian style packages but wanted them introduced alongside instruments that would weed out corruption.

Anwar was also serious about fiscal policies to take account of distributive justice in the months between December, 1997 and April, 1998. In fact, he had dismissed a report prepared by a team of IMF-style consultants on measures to rescue the East Asian economies affected by the 1997-98 Financial crisis. He had written on the cover of that report that it must be rewritten to address problems of social justice.

I was approached by his advisors to rewrite the report and took it on eventually after his team headed by Khalid Jaafar agreed to allow me to change it completely. It was unfortunate that Anwar did not manage to present it as he was replaced by Daim Zainuddin and sacked by Mahathir. Yet, there is enough historical evidence to back Anwar's claim that he is a believer in social justice. After all, mentored by the widely respected social activist and former University of Malaya academic Professor Dr Syed Husin Ali during his undergraduate days, Anwar was arrested and jailed for his role in championing the rights of the poor during the Baling riots and the protests he commandeered as a student union leader at University of Malaya in 1974.

It must be said that the young and brash leader of the 1970s was then a champion of the poor at a time when government policy was very much in line with the dictates of the IMF and the World Bank. However, he had become a mature leader in the 1990s following his apprenticeship as the Finance Minister of Malaysia from 1995 to recognize the need to connect distributive justice with sound economic principles. In addition, in the spirit of intellectual discourse, Anwar had also developed the capacity to exchange views with experts from different schools of economic philosophy in a cordial and constructive manner. As a result, he enjoyed strong friendship with several heads of governments and global organizations.

Anwar remains a strong advocate of the humane economy as articulated in his Malaysian Economic Agenda and reflected in the 2008 Election Manifesto for Pakatan Rakyat, which he again stressed during his ceramahs and meet- the people- sessions during the campaign for the Permatang Pauh by-election. The fact that he won decisively over his UMNO opponent showed that his economic agenda founded on sound economic growth and distributive justice was fully endorsed by voters.

I read the article above with much interest.

With all due respect to the Cambridge educated economist, her/his analysis is vague and bordering generalization.

Firstly, I would like to clarify here that Anwar was not arrested and jailed for his role in championing the rights of the poor during the Baling riots but rather, he was arrested and jailed because he was desecrating the Malaysian and Umno's flag during the riots.

Very much like how Lim Kit Siang in 1969, was desecrating the flag in front of Datuk Harun Idris' house in Kampung Baru just prior to the May 13 incident. He then spent about 18 months in the ISA.

Anyway, is Anwar a believer in social justice? Is he a strong advocate of humane economy? Those were the questions the author above wanted Anwar to be favourably remembered as.

The IMF encouraged Anwar to lift the prices of controlled food items and increase the banking interest rates. Is that humane to us Malaysians?

Read [here](#) in the news at that time.

I'm surprised the writer chose not to remember this. This, and among other destructive measures were the ones that made us Malaysians suffered in our lifestyle.

If not for the strong intervention by the government, we could end up with economic strife like Thailand and Indonesia at that time.

The fact that the writer said Anwar made a stand against cronyism and corruption ring hollow to my ears when in June 1998 at that time, Anwar and his family members as well as his cronies were on top of the list of those who received government contracts.

And during his tenure as the Finance Minister, he was the sole signatory for the approval of contracts under the 'Program Kontraktor Wibawa'. We had to be really naive to believe that certain percentage of the said projects did not go to his cronies or perhaps *gasp* himself.

Is there no reasonable doubt in this instance?

Instead of pursuing capital controls, Anwar had foolishly urged BNM to cushion the impact of the fallen ringgit with currency speculation.

As the result, BNM lost billions of ringgit in early 1998. This move was even criticised by Lim Kit Siang in Parliament.

He was also the strong supporter of 'creative destruction' of the economy.

Which would have a devastating effect if he allowed the huge GLCs, with thousands upon thousand of workers to go bust just because he would want the foreign hands to have an easier dip into our national wealth.

Should we at that time let globalization (in reality, [economic colonization](#)) engulf us like how Indonesia and Thailand suffered? Most of their strategic business entities are controlled by the Americans.

Therein lies the conundrum of surrendering your sovereignty, or remaining steadfast in the face of challenging global environment.

We had to survive at that time. [But we wanted to survive within our own terms.](#) Why should we let others dictate us?

The assumption that other countries that were helped by IMF becoming stronger than us is also erroneous.

In [his speech in Singapore in 2007](#), Anwar tried to debunk his apparent mistake by justifying that the said countries (South Korea and Singapore) fared well in relations to having small budget surplus in 2003 and 2004 whereas Malaysia is still having deficit in budget.

But he failed to mention that Singapore was not aided by IMF while South Korea had to have budget surpluses due to the condition set by the IMF itself. What had South Korea sacrificed? Its foreign exchange reserves is lower when compared to Malaysia (only USD 9 billion in 1998 – 2000). Plus, in order to achieve budget surpluses, South Korea had to sacrifice a lot of allocation meant for national development.

As the result, the USD58 Billion package deal was finally paid off in 2001.

Anwar also failed to mention that South Korea is already on the brink of being a developed nation in late 90's if not already.

That is why, they could bounce back quickly as compared to Thailand and Indonesia.

If Malaysia had taken the same route like South Korea, we may not end up like South Korea, but instead like Indonesia. Furthermore, like I said earlier, our economic independence would have been greatly compromised.

Remember the [austerity package](#) Anwar introduced in 97/98? That shows how shallow his comprehension in economy. When a nation is suffering from an economic crisis like the currency crisis we experienced in 1997/98, [an austerity package will further cripple the economy](#).

Instead of spending, he wanted the government to save. In an economy where source of wealth is accumulated by trade, further generation of economic activity is a must in order to overcome an impending credit crunch.

When the private sector had curtailed their spending in lieu to the fear of the unknown, then it is up to the government to spend in order for the economy not to stall. If the government also tries to save, then nobody will earn money. Thus, economy will become stagnant and fall.

But what he did was exactly that – increase the interest rates on loans so that it would be difficult to do business, shorten the NPL tenure to 3 months resulting in bad credit for businesses, cutting development projects for the people that could actually drive the economy forward.



He probably was naive in believing that the IMF prescription could work. In the current era where the [US is also subscribing to similar measures](#) much like our

own, it is indeed ironic that we need to compel ourselves to view Anwar and the IMF as our fallen saviour in 1998.

It's only natural that the writer tries to expunge Anwar from being portrayed as the IMF boy. With the premiership probably within his grasp, it is good to have a hatchet man that could expound any 'misperception' about the PM in waiting.

But the truth is, Anwar cannot escape all the misjudgments he made and all the erroneous decisions which had further derailed the economic strength of the society at that time – the very society he is trying to 'save'.

Hopefully, this society will not see him as the fallen saviour in 1998, but rather as the bringer of 'social injustice' and advocate of 'inhumane economy'.

I offer another side of view for the crisis in 1998 and how Anwar had a hand in it.

For more reading material, please read [here](#).

I may be wrong in this analysis. If I am, please enlighten me. After all, I was not trained in Cambridge or Oxford.

CHAPTER 10

The Crybabies of Pakatan Rakyat

I was confused watching the unfolding of events for the past few days. What I saw was unexpected. Never in the history of Malaysia, I had seen this kind of scenes...

- a) Abusive languages and acts being hurled towards the Malay Sultans, after being encouraged by Malay opposition leaders
- b) Hypocrisy of the Pakatan Rakyat leaders with regards to their relationship with the Malaysian monarchy
- c) Perak Malays disrespecting their own Sultan only because a DAP state government lost power

Derhaka kepada Sultan

By all means, if you want to correct the Sultans, please do so. Even the [Four Righteous Caliphs](#) wanted the people to correct them if they made any mistakes.

But to hurl abusive language and pelt stones to his majesty's car, [plus showing him the 'finger'](#), is not the mark of sane human beings. Is there any sane people in Pakatan Rakyat right now? I doubt it.

Their irrational behaviour shows one thing only. Their inability to handle power. Once they had tasted it, it is very hard to let it go. Is this the kind of power hungry and dishonest people you want as leaders? Were there riots when state governments fell from BN hands to Pakatan Rakyat?

Nama saja Islam tapi tidak mahu mempercayai Qada' dan Qadar.

Too bad people like Anwar Ibrahim, Haris Ibrahim and Mohd Nizar actually [encourage this type of behaviour](#). Malays inviting the people to show disrespect to the Sultans? [A treasonous act indeed](#).

Those three people (along with the Pakatan Rakyat leaders), would have been thrown in the gallows if Malaysia was Thailand.

(I wonder what Sultan Kelantan is feeling right now when Nik Aziz was silent concerning the blatant rudeness towards the palace? Silence often means condoning)

Hypocritical Pakatan Rakyat

Karpal Singh, [who had vehemently disagreed with Anwar's Project 916](#), was quiet when the Bota Assembly man crossed over to Pakatan Rakyat earlier.

I expected he would make an announcement chiding MB Perak (Nazir) and Anwar for accepting the froggie into PKR's fold. But he, and the rest of the like minded people were very quiet. It shows a lot about the level of sincerity of these politicians. I thought Karpal Singh has some redeemable character. But alas, no. [He is still the contemptible politician that we know of.](#)

This incident showed how insincere Karpal was when he called for the enactment of anti hopping law all this while. There are a lot of insincere politicians out there. Do not fall for the biggest hypocrite of them all.

Few commentators had gave comments saying it is Umno's fault that Sultan Azlan is ridiculed. Typical of any Pakatan Rakyat supporters (and leaders), they will blame everyone else except themselves. My dear children, every mature human beings are given the ability to choose.

Did Umno put a gun on your head and force you to show the 'finger' and throw stones onto cars? Was Umno the one who organised the demonstrations that Friday afternoon? You have the choice NOT to throw stones. NOT to throw vile insults. NOT to riot. NOT to ridicule and throw profanities to the Sultan either. He knows about the law better than anyone else who can write blogs here. In fact, he is more experienced than anyone who is reading this piece. If you think Tun Salleh Abas is experienced, Sultan Azlan is far more senior and wiser than him. So Anwar Ibrahim and other stooges in Pakatan Rakyat, who are you to question him that way?

In fact, as I recalled, was it not Anwar Ibrahim who had insisted [to empower the monarchy back in November 2008](#)? He even said:

“Maknanya, Raja yang tunduk kepada prinsip perlembagaan dan undang-undang, **tetapi kemuliaan dan darjat Raja-raja harus dipelihara,**” katanya ketika menyampaikan ucapan di penghujung kongres nasional kelima PKR petang tadi.

Tahu pula hendak memuliakan raja. Tetapi apabila keputusan tidak berpihak kepadanya, dimalukan pula raja sebegitu rupa.

Boy, does he need more mirrors to look at his reflection. Why are we still taking this hooligan seriously? A political animal of this level should never become the Prime Minister. Malaysia does not need the mess it will have to endure should he become our leader. If we think Pak Lah is a flip flop, wait till we see what Anwar Ibrahim has in store for us.

Malays disrespecting their own Sultan

Manusia paling bodoh ialah mereka yang berdemonstrasi dan baring di tengah jalan menghalang kereta Raja Muda Perak sambil mengibar bendera Palestin. Apa mereka hendak capai dengan melakukan perkara sebegitu? Buat malu tujuh keturunan sahaja. Menghina raja sendiri hanya kerana Nga Kor Ming dan Ngeh Koo Ham hilang kuasa? Orang Islam PAS sendiri menghina ketua Islam negeri hanya kerana Karpal Singh berkata Sultan Azlan tersilap? Wahai orang orang yang hendak naik roket untuk sampai ke bulan, Karpal Singh bukannya maksum.

Saya tidak faham mengapa ahli ahli PAS mengagung-agungkan Karpal Singh. Apabila Umno berkata hukum hudud tidak sesuai digunakan di negara ini, berebut rebut mereka melabelkan Umno itu kafir. [Apabila Karpal Singh menempelak PAS dan Anwar Ibrahim](#) dengan berkata ia akan menentang hukum hudud, berlumba lumba mereka memujuk Karpal Singh dengan berkata betul, ianya memang sukar untuk dilaksanakan.

Nah, apabila Karpal Singh dan Lim Kit Siang sudah berkata Sultan Azlan tidak adil dan tersilap, berhempas pulas ahli-ahli PAS mengherdik dan mencaci raja

mereka sendiri. Presiden PAS hanya duduk diam kaku melihat gelagat ahli ahli partinya sendiri.

Saya rasa, elok sahaja Karpal Singh jadi Presiden PAS. Orang PAS lebih hormat dia dari hormat Sultan. Lebih hormat DAP dari hormat ketua rasmi agama Islam negeri. Hadi Awang pula cuma tahu hendak membodek DAP sahaja.

Pada mereka yang mengibarkan bendera Palestin dan berani baring di atas jalan ketika berhadapan dengan raja sendiri, elok jugalah Malaysia menghantar mereka semua ini ke Gaza untuk membantu pejuang Hamas di sana. Itu lebih afdal dari menjadi kurang ajar kepada raja sesama Islam mereka sendiri.

Kepada 400 orang yang berdemonstrasi petang Jumaat lalu dan menunjukkan perangai biadap kepada Sultan, tolong sediakan nama dan nombor kad pengenalan masing-masing ke pihak berkuasa. Kita boleh menghantar 'pejuang berani mati' ini ke bumi Palestin.

Selamat pergi dan selamat pulang PAS.

CHAPTER 11

Lidah Bercabang Pakatan Rakyat

Saya sedikit teruja setiap kali menulis mengenai Pakatan Rakyat. Mungkin kerana topiknya amatlah mudah untuk ditulis. Ada saja buah-buah fikiran yang mencurah-curah masuk ke dalam otak.

Suka saya ingatkan di sini bahawa tajuk artikel saya kali ini amatlah bertepatan dengan isi kandungannya. Jika anda bersetuju dengan tajuk di atas, tidak perlulah anda meneruskan pembacaan anda. Ini kerana mereka yang sudah masak dengan agenda Pakatan Rakyat sudah tidak perlu diberitahu lebih lanjut lagi. Sila berhenti membaca di sini. Terima kasih.

Jika anda tidak bersetuju pun, tidak mengapa. Tiada apa yang akan saya katakan akan masuk dek akal di kalangan mereka yang tidak sehaluan dengan blog ini. Anda boleh tekan butang 'backspace' sekarang. Terima kasih.

Sebenarnya, artikel kali ini ditulis kepada sesiapa yang telah melihat gelagat para penyokong Pakatan Rakyat pada hari Jumaat lepas.

Saya terpanggil untuk menganalisa apa yang berlaku selepas hari Jumaat tersebut. Kita tidak akan menyentuh mengenai keputusan Duli Yang Maha Mulia Paduka Sultan Perak, Sultan Azlan Muhibbuddin Shah ibni Al-Marhum Sultan Yussuf Izzuddin Shah.



gambar ehsan dari [blog Daulat Tuanku](#)

Ini kerana, ramai yang tiba-tiba sudah khatam ijazah perundangan akan bertelagah sesama sendiri di sini. Ramai juga yang perasan menjadi tuan-tuan hakim untuk terus menerus menjatuhkan hukuman kepada Sultan Azlan. Walaupun sudah dikatakan bahawa baginda telah bertindak mengikut lunas undang-undang, ada juga yang akan menidakkan bidang kuasa baginda. Mereka akan berkata yang baginda mungkin tersilap kerana baginda hanya seorang manusia, akan tetapi mereka ini terlupa yang mereka juga manusia yang tidak terlepas dari kesilapan. Harap mereka ini dapat menarik dan menghela nafas keinsafan.

Seperti dikatakan dahulu, kita boleh membawa perkara ini ke mahkamah jika mahu.

Namun begitu, perkara yang tidak dapat diselesaikan di mahkamah adalah maruah baginda yang sudah tercemar akibat cacian para pemimpin Pakatan Rakyat yang menghasut para penyokong mereka supaya memberontak. Kelakuan ini sudah pun dikategorikan sebagai menderhaka.

Yang gelihatinya, apabila orang ramai berkata bahawa Pakatan Rakyat sudah menderhaka kepada Sultan, mereka cuba memulang paku buah keras dengan mengatakan Ummolah yang mula mula menderhaka kepada Sultan suatu masa dulu. Sungguh pelik sekali. Apabila dengan terang kita melihat Umno, Barisan Nasional, sebahagian rakyat, segelintir NGO dan para bloggers sedang berhempas pulas mempertahankan institusi diraja, Pakatan Rakyat dengan tidak segan silu menzahirkan perbuatan mereka dengan menyatakan 'dulu orang lain pernah buat, kami pun hendak buat juga!'

Beginilah sebenarnya perangai pemimpin Pakatan Rakyat. Tidak mahu bertanggungjawab ke atas apa yang mereka lakukan. Di mana-mana kita baca tidak terdapat satu blog pemimpin Pakatan Rakyat yang meminta maaf di atas keterlanjuran para penyokong mereka minggu lepas. Anwar Ibrahim telah bertungkus lumus menggembeleng tenaga buruh ([ada juga yang di import dari Indonesia](#)) untuk [membuat rusuhan](#) yang secara tidak langsung merupakan kejadian paling biadap yang pernah kita saksikan sepanjang hayat kita.

Sewaktu Raja-Raja Melayu ingin tunduk kepada pihak British, orang-orang Melayu ketika itu telah berarak beramai ramai ke [Station Hotel di Kuala](#)

[Lumpur](#) untuk memujuk raja-raja mereka agar tidak bersetuju dengan perjanjian Malayan Union. Kesultanan Melayu bernasib baik kerana Datuk Onn Jaafar telah memimpin perjuangan untuk mengembalikan kuasa Raja Raja Melayu dari dirampas oleh pihak British.

Hari ini kita dapat saksikan betapa gediknya blog-blog Pakatan Rakyat mengungkit cerita-cerita lama untuk mempertahankan kelakuan mereka tempoh hari. Akan tetapi mereka ini sudah berasa lebih tinggi dari raja hingga tidak terfikir oleh mereka untuk meminta maaf kepada Sultan Azlan. Mengapa perlu terkinja-kinja dan bersungguh-sungguh mengalihkan perhatian orang ramai? Mereka tidak sedar bahawa ianya tidak sama sekali memadam penderhakaan mereka. Ianya hanya dapat dimaafkan jika Anwar Ibrahim, Mohd Nizar dan seluruh barisan exco lama Perak memohon ampun di atas kesalahan mereka tempoh hari.

Oleh kerana Pakatan Rakyat adalah satu pakatan yang tidak bertanggungjawab, mereka akan terkial-kial mencari sebarang justifikasi untuk membolehkan mereka menderhaka kepada Sultan. Dan dengan pantas, mereka menjumpainya. Tiada cara lain, kecuali dengan mengungkit sejarah. Mereka berharap sejarah akan berpihak kepada mereka. Sayang sama sekali, sejarah juga tidak akan melepaskan mereka dari kesalahan derhaka.

'Orang lain boleh buat, mengapa kita tidak boleh? Yang penting, Umno juga perlu dilihat sebagai penderhaka.' Itulah yang mereka lakukan.

Yang anehnya, dalam masa yang sama, Anwar Ibrahim berkata yang beliau akan cuba mengembalikan kuasa Raja Raja. Bagaimana hendak mengembalikan kuasa raja-raja jika kita berkurang ajar kepada mereka? Saya khawatir Anwar Ibrahim akan sukar membuat ucapan kelak kerana lidahnya makin bercabang.

Ada yang lebih aneh lagi. Di dalam blog-blog pro Pakatan Rakyat, para penyokong mereka pula ingin melucutkan kuasa raja raja kerana marahkan kepada Sultan Azlan. Pelik bin ajaib! Telatah Anwar Ibrahim dan para pengikutnya ternyata mengaibkan institusi DiRaja.

Ingat, jika ingin mengungkit cerita lama seperti kes Tan Sri Ghazali Jawi dan insiden Datuk Idris Jusoh dengan pemangku Sultan Terengganu, maka

janganlah anggap diri sudah terlalu sempurna dari sebarang kesalahan. Janganlah menganggap diri sudah terlalu gah dan sudah lebih makan garam dan telah banyak membuat jasa.

Tindakan tidak meminta maaf menunjukkan bahawa Pakatan Rakyat percaya diri mereka kebal dan boleh melakukan sesuka hati terhadap raja mereka sendiri. Sekurang-kurangnya, Umno pernah berjasa besar kepada Raja Raja Melayu sebelum itu. Apakah jasa Pakatan Rakyat kepada Raja Raja Melayu kini?

Ada? Tunjuk isyarat lucah ada lah!

Ingin diingatkan bahawa kes Tan Sri Ghazali Jawi amatlah berlainan sekali dengan peristiwa Anwar Ibrahim dan Mohd Nizar menderhaka kepada Sultan Azlan. [Tan Sri Ghazali Jawi berhenti walaupun mempunyai majoriti dari wakil rakyatnya](#). Tun Hussein Onn bagaimanapun telah menghormati kehendak Sultan Idris dan melucutkan jawatan Menteri Besarnya. Sultan Idris telah berkehendakkan sesuatu di luar batasan sistem perundangan Perak. Jika contoh ini yang diambil oleh Pakatan Rakyat, maka jika Sultan Azlan bernazar tidak mahu Mohd Nizar sebagai Menteri Besar baginda, adakah Anwar Ibrahim akan menghormati Sultan Azlan dan mengarahkan Mohd Nizar supaya bersabar dan menerima sahaja titah Sultan? Tidak sama sekali. Kita telah melihat, mendengar dan membaca mengenai kebiadapan mereka.

Oleh itu, tidak usahlah hendak mendabik dada berlagak hero. Bertelur sebiji, riuh sekampung. Contoh yang dikemukakan tidak boleh dipakai. Alangkah cocok dan manis jika kita dapat melihat para pemimpin Pakatan Rakyat menelan ego mereka dan meminta ampun kepada baginda Tuanku.

Adakah [pemimpin PAS terlalu maksum](#) untuk meminta ampun bagi pihak penyokong mereka? Anwar Ibrahim tidak mungkin akan meminta maaf kerana kita sudah tahu mengenai personalitinya. Beliau tidak akan sekali-kali merendahkan diri untuk meminta maaf kepada Tuanku Sultan. Beliau terlalu maksum untuk itu semua!

Atau adakah mungkin para pemimpin di dalam Pakatan Rakyat tidak percaya kepada institusi Raja lagi? Adakah seorang bernama Sultan Azlan tidak berhak mendapat sembah hormat yang sewajarnya? [Atau mungkin mereka berfikir](#)

[bahawa orang bernama Sultan Azlan hanya berhak ditempelak sahaja](#)? Boleh dimaki dan dicerca di dalam blog, di dalam ceramah, di dalam surat-surat khabar Pakatan Rakyat, di mana-mana sahaja. Begitu? Jika lidah sudah bercabang, sifat sifat baik di dalam diri manusia dengan sendirinya akan terhakis.

Jika Pakatan Rakyat sudah tidak hormat kepada institusi Raja Melayu walaupun hanya baru berkuasa selama 11 bulan, mana mungkin mereka akan melindungi dan menghormati [masyarakat madani](#) di masa yang akan datang.

Inilah musibah yang akan menanti rakyat jika Pakatan Rakyat terus menerus didodoi oleh sokongan mereka yang terlalu taksab kepada sifat sifat orang yang lidah bercabang.